Report Title:	Proposal for the continuation of two Public Spaces Protection Orders (PSPO) in Windsor, Maidenhead and Ascot to address dog fouling, dog control and cycling prohibition areas in Maidenhead and Windsor town centres
Contains	No - Part I
Confidential or	
Exempt Information	
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Werner, Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Community Partnerships, Public Protection and Maidenhead
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet – 27 March 2024
Responsible Officer(s):	Andrew Durrant, Executive Director of Place Amanda Gregory, Assistant Director, Housing and Public Protection
Wards affected:	All



#### REPORT SUMMARY

Public Spaces Protection Orders (PSPOs) were brought in under the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014, which came into force on 20 October 2014. PSPOs specify an area where activities are evidenced to be taking place that are detrimental to the local community's quality of life. PSPOs impose conditions or restrictions on people using that area; such as alcohol bans or putting up gates.

- 1. This report deals with the proposal to continue two existing PSPOs in Windsor, Maidenhead and Ascot for a further 3 years.
- 2. The report recommends the continuation of a PSPO for a Borough wide ban on dog fouling and ineffective control of dogs.
- 3. The report also recommends the continuation of a PSPO which prohibits cycling on the highway in pedestrianised zones of High Street, Maidenhead and the pedestrianised zone of Peascod Street, Windsor.

The continuatison of the PSPOs to tackle dog control/dog fouling in the Borough and cycling in pedestrianised zones of High Street, Maidenhead and the pedestrianised zone of Peascod Street, Windsor supports the Corporate Plan commitment to 'Taking action to tackle climate change and its consequences and improving our natural environment'.

## 1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

# **RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report and:**

i) Considers the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, Antisocial Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014, Public Space Protection Order (dog control and dog fouling) 2021 and the Public Space Protection Order (cycling in pedestrianised areas) 2021 and delegates authority to the Executive Director of Place Services in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Community Partnerships, Public Protection and Maidenhead portfolio to approve both Orders.

# 2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
To continue the PSPO for dog control	To continue the two PSPOs to be
and dog fouling.	enforced by authorised persons.
	This option is recommended as
To continue the PSPO for cycling in	the PSPOs are based on a solid
pedestrianised areas.	and long- standing evidence
	base to address the related key
This is the recommended option	issues.
Do nothing and allow the PSPOs to	This option is not recommended
expire.	as it would mean existing PSPOs
	expire in April 2024 and the
	issues would be unenforceable
	causing distress for residents and
	visitors of the Borough.

- 2.1 The PSPO to address dog dontrol and dog fouling and cycling has been in place for the past 3 years and is due to expire on 27 April 2024 and needs to be renewed so that they can continue for a further 3 years. The PSPOs allow the police or other authorised officers to continue to be able to ask someone who has a dog that is behaving out of control to put their dog on a lead and for a person to clean up their dogs mess. If that person does not comply, they could then be issued with a £100 fixed penalty notice. Similarly, continuing the PSPO for cycling allows authorised persons to ask cyclists to dismount from their bikes if they are seen cycling through the pedestrianised zones specified in the orders. If that person does not comply, they could then be issued with a £100 fixed penalty notice.
- 2.2 We are proposing to continue the PSPOs as having them in place for the past 3 years has had a positive effect on the number of incidents in the Borough. For dog fouling, our PSPO signage warning residents of their responsibility has resulted in an improvement noticed by our Wardens and residents. The Community Wardens have not had to issue any fines as they have not witnessed anyone dog fouling but patrol hotspots on a weekly basis and engage with dog walkers using the PSPOs as a tool to educate and remind dog walkers of their responsibilities. For cycling, the Community Wardens have carried out patrols in the two zones on daily basis and the majority of the time cyclists will dismount when asked or they have already dismounted after seeing the signage. Wardens have only had to issue fines on five occasions where cyclists have not complied with the instruction to dismount.

2.3 Following review of the consultation feedback, particularly in reference to the feedback submitted by cycling groups, we are proposing to amend the PSPO that relates to cycling so that it operates only during the hours of 10am to 5pm each. This will align it to the same restrictions placed on motor vehicles.

## 3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

**Table 2: Key Implications** 

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceed ed	Significan tly Exceeded	Date of delive ry
The PSPO (dog fouling and dog control)continues and is enforced by authorised persons.	The PSPO does not continue and authorised officers cannot enforce behaviours. Reports of anti- social behaviour continue/increa se.	Conditions continue to be in place and dog fouling and effective control of dog conditions are enforced.	Reports of anti- social behavio ur decreas e.	Residents feel safe and this is reflected in the resident's survey.	27 March 2024
The PSPO (cycling on the highway in pedestrianise d zones of High Street, Maidenhead and the pedestrianise d zone of Peascod Street, Windsor) continue and is enforced by authorised persons.	The PSPO does not continue and authorised officers cannot enforce behaviours. Reports of antisocial behaviour continue/increa se.	Conditions continue and cycling on the highway in pedestrianis ed zones conditions are enforced.	Reports of anti- social behavio ur decreas e.	Residents feel safe and this is reflected in the resident's survey.	27 March 2024

# 4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

There are no financial implications arising directly from the recommendations in this report. The levels of charge for Fixed Penalty Notices (FPNs) in this Borough were approved by Cabinet on 27 September 2018 at £100 (reduced to £75 if paid within 10 days). It is proposed that these are kept as the charges for the two PSPO FPNs to be consistent with the other FPNs in place in the Borough.

#### 5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The Royal Borough is empowered under s.59 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 to make PSPOs where activities carried on in a public place have had, or are likely to have, a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality;
  - Are, or are likely to be, persistent or continuing in nature;
  - Are, or are likely to be, unreasonable; and
  - Justify the restrictions imposed.
- 5.2 Furthermore, under s.59, the Royal Borough has to consider the restrictions, and the duration of the order proposed.
- 5.3 Section 66 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 allows that an interested person, i.e. "an individual who lives in the restricted area or who regularly works in or visits that area", may challenge the validity of a PSPO, by application to the High Court where:
  - A local authority did not have power to make the order; or
  - That a requirement under the legislation was not complied with

No such challenge has been received in relation to this proposal to date, and there have been no indications that anyone is considering such a challenge.

- 5.4 Additionally, Regulation 2 of the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (Publication of Public Spaces Protection Orders) Regulations 2014 requires that the Royal Borough must take certain steps to publicise PSPOs.
- 5.5 The legislation stipulates that PSPOs must be subject to regular review. New orders should ideally be reviewed after a year, and thereafter PSPOs must be reviewed triennially.
- 5.6 Finally, under s.71, it must have had regard to the Rights of Freedom of Expression and of Assembly under the Human rights Act 1998, before making the Order. European Human Rights considerations are covered overleaf.
- 5.7 In accordance with the Council's Constitution; Public Space Protection Orders within a <u>single ward</u> have been delegated to the Licensing and PSPO Sub Committee; however as the PSPOs in this report relate to multiple wards these PSPOs must be approved by Cabinet.

#### 6. RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 Table 3: Impact of risk and mitigation

Threat or risk	Impact with	Likelihood	Mitigations	Mitigations	Impact of	Likelihood
	no	of risk	currently in	proposed	risk	of risk
	mitigations	occurring	place		once all	occurring
	in place or	with no			mitigations	with all
	if all	mitigations			in place	mitigations
	mitigations	in place.			and	in place.
	fail				working	

There is a risk that there could be a legal challenge to the validity of the PSPO	Minor	Low	Review by internal and external legal counsel.	•	Ad hoc PSPO panel to decide on local PSPOs	Minor	Low
process which could result in reputation damage to the council and potential court costs.			Public consultation on PSPOs	•	Initial review of individual Panel to decide on strategic PSPOs PSPOs Triennial reviews of all		

#### 7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 Equalities. An Equality Impact Assessment is available as Appendix A. We will review the consultation responses for any equalities considerations and if appropriate amend the EQIA as necessary.
- 7.2 Climate change/sustainability. There are no known identifiable factors that would impact climate change or sustainability.
- 7.3 Data Protection/GDPR. Data Protection Impact Assessment is not required. The information journey has been captured in the Privacy notice which can be found on <a href="Privacy notice: Public Space Protection Orders Public consultation | Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead (rbwm.gov.uk)">rbwm.gov.uk</a>)
- 7.4 The Council will give regard to the rights and freedoms set out in Article 10 (right of freedom of expression) and Article 11 (right of freedom of assembly) of the European Convention of Human Rights in order to conclude that the restrictions on such rights and freedoms imposed by this Order are lawful, necessary and proportionate.

#### 8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 We are currently running a consultation to propose the introduction of the PSPOs, the consultation went live on Monday 29 January 2024 and runs until Monday 26 February 2024.
- 8.2 The consultation consists of an explanation and copies of the existing PSPOs and a brief outline of the proposal and a short survey. The survey allows local residents or people with a connection to the Borough to express whether they agree or disagree with the proposals.

- 8.3 The consultation was publicised via a press release, social media channels such as RBWM Twitter and Facebook and notices in public areas such as libraries, notice boards in shops/parks and parish council's notice boards.
- 8.4 RBWM consulted with key stakeholders such as Thames Valley Police, Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC), Councillors, Town and Parish Councils, Landowners, Town Centre Managers, Kennel club, cycling groups and residents groups.
- 8.5 A summary of all consultation responses will be circulated in a supplementary document following the closure of the consultation on Monday 26 February 2024.

#### 9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

9.1 Implementation date if not called in: Immediately. The full implementation stages are set out in table 4.

**Table 4: Implementation timetable** 

Date	Details	
27 March 2024	Cabinet to decide whether a PSPO is appropriate.	
27 March 2024	If Cabinet is satisfied that a PSPO is appropriate, the	
	Council's solicitor shall be authorised to issue the Orders	
	with the revised date and seal.	
27 March 2024	New Orders are sealed following Cabinet.	
27 March 2024	The Orders will be published on the website and notices will	
	be erected at the sites as considered sufficient.	
8 May 2024	Challenges to the PSPO must be made to the High Court	
_	within 6 weeks of the order being made.	
27 March 2025	After 12 months, partner review meeting convened to review	
	whether to continue/amend/remove the orders.	

#### 10. APPENDICES

- 10.1 This report is supported by 5 appendices:
  - Appendix A Equality Impact Assessment
  - Appendix B- Existing order for the PSPO relating to dog control and dog fouling.
  - Appendix C Existing order for the PSPO relating to cycling.
  - Appendix D Consultation Questionnaire <a href="https://rbwmtogether.rbwm.gov.uk/public-spaces-protection-orders-pspo-consultation">https://rbwmtogether.rbwm.gov.uk/public-spaces-protection-orders-pspo-consultation</a>
  - Appendix E- Responses from consultation- to follow.

#### 11. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

- 11.1 This report is supported by 2 background documents:
  - Public Space Protection Orders are established in sections 59 to 75 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. This can be viewed at:
     <a href="http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/12/part/4/chapter/2/crossheading/public-spaces-protection-orders/enacted">http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/12/part/4/chapter/2/crossheading/public-spaces-protection-orders/enacted</a>

Guidance on the legislation is available on the Home office publication:
 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/att
 achment\_data/file/956143/ASB\_Statutory\_Guidance.pdf

# 12. CONSULTATION

Name of	Post held	Date	Date
consultee		sent	returned
Mandatory:	Statutory Officer (or deputy)		
Elizabeth Griffiths	Executive Director of Resources & S151 Officer	13/02/24	n/a
Elaine Browne	Deputy Director of Law & Governance & Monitoring Officer	13/02/24	21/02/24
Deputies:			
Andrew Vallance	Deputy Director of Finance & Deputy S151 Officer	13/02/24	13/02/24
Jane Cryer	Principal Lawyer & Deputy Monitoring Officer	13/02/24	n/a
Mandatory:	Data Protection Officer (or deputy) - if decision will result in processing of personal data; to advise on DPIA		
Samantha Wootton	Data Protection Officer	13/02/24	22/02/24
Mandatory:	Equalities Officer – to advise on EQiA, or agree an EQiA is not required		
Ellen McManus- Fry	Equalities & Engagement Officer	13/02/24	20/02/24
Directors (where relevant)			
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	13/02/24	13/02/24
Assistant Directors (where relevant)			
Amanda Gregory	Assistant Director of Housing and Public Protection	13/02/24	29/02/24

Confirmation	Cabinet Member for Community	Yes- approved at
relevant Cabinet	Partnerships, Public Protection	briefing paper stage.
Member(s)	and Maidenhead portfolio.	
consulted		

# **REPORT HISTORY**

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Key decision  First entered into the Cabinet	No	No

Forward Plan: 23/01/2024	

Report Author: Mandy Mann, Anti social behaviour coordinator, 07920504572